

Notice of Allowability

Application No.

09/705,361

Examiner

Jared J. Fureman

Applicant(s)

LAWLOR, PAUL

Art Unit

2876

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. ☒ This communication is responsive to the amendment filed on 11/6/2003
2. ☒ The allowed claim(s) is/are 32 and 41-67.
3. ☒ The drawings filed on 03 November 2003 are accepted by the Examiner.
4. ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * Certified copies not received: _____.
5. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
6. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. **THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE**

7. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
8. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
- a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached
- 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No. _____.
- b) ☐ including changes required by the proposed drawing correction filed _____, which has been approved by the Examiner.
- c) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No. _____.

Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the margin according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).

9. ☐ DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

Attachment(s)

- 1 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3 ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08), Paper No. _____
- 4 ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material
- 5 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413), Paper No. _____
- 7 ☐ Examiner's Amendment/Comment
- 8 ☒ Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance
- 9 ☐ Other

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed on 11/6/2003, which has been entered in the file. Claims 32 and 41-67 are pending.

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 32 and 41-67 have been allowed over the prior art of record.
2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to teach or fairly suggest an apparatus, method, and computer readable instructions for applying graphical information onto packaged consumer products, comprising: a plurality of coders which apply graphical information to product packaging in response to received instructions, a processing system/computer which generates the instructions in response to received input data representing the graphical information, wherein a first of the coders is capable of performing a specific function and a second of the coders is incapable of performing the specific function, wherein the processing system refers to capabilities of coders to perform the specific function, and in dependence of the capabilities the processing system/computer (a) instructs the first coder to generate graphical information such that the first coder performs the specific function, and (b) performs the specific function before supplying lower level instructions to the second coder; in combination with the other claimed limitations as set forth in the claims.

While the admitted prior art teaches a plurality of coders having different capabilities, the admitted prior art teaches that since the coders have different capabilities they must be manually programmed (see page 3 line 5 - page 4 line 22, of

the specification). The admitted prior art does not teach a processing system referring to the capabilities of the coders to perform a specific function, and in dependence of the capabilities the processing system (a) instructs a first coder to generate graphical information such that the first coder performs the specific function, and (b) performs the specific function before supplying lower level instructions to a second coder.

Suzuki et al teaches a processing system (a printer driver 9 or a "device driver interface" (DDI)) which refers to the capabilities of a coder (page printer 3) to determine the appropriate instructions or format of instructions to supply to the coder, however, Suzuki et al fails to specifically teach the processing system, in dependence of the coders capabilities to perform a specific function (a) instructing a first coder to generate graphical information such that the first coder performs the specific function, and (b) performs the specific function before supplying lower level instructions to a second coder. Thus, without the benefit of applicant's invention, there is no motivation for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the prior art of record in a manner so as to create the claimed invention.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jared J. Fureman whose telephone number is (703)

Art Unit: 2876

305-0424. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am - 4:30 PM M-T, and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (703) 305-3503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

November 14, 2003

Jared J. Fureman
Jared J. Fureman
Art Unit 2876